

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT  
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)



REC'D 07 MAR 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference TS 0036 PCT/Kr/H	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/11337	International filing date (day/month/year) 03.10.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.10.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C10B7/10		
Applicant DANIELI CORUS TECHNICAL SERVICES BV		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.
  - ☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 4 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
  - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
  - II ☐ Priority
  - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
  - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
  - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
  - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand  10.02.2004	Date of completion of this report  01.03.2005
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Authorized Officer  Zuurdeeg, B  Telephone No. +31 70 340-4467 

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11337

**I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17))*):

**Description, Pages**

1-10 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-26 received on 17.02.2005 with letter of 14.02.2005

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/3-3/3 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/EP 03/11337**

---

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

*(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)*

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-26
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	16,17
	No: Claims	1-15,18-26
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-26
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

**see separate sheet**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11337

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US-A-5 296 005 (WOLFE RICHARD A ET AL) 22 March 1994 (1994-03-22)  
cited in the application
- D2: WO 92 19372 A (CHRISTIAN ENGINEERING) 12 November 1992 (1992-11-12)
- D3: US-A-1 458 492 (MATHEWS PAUL L ET AL) 12 June 1923 (1923-06-12)
- D4: US-A-1 468 379 (WILLIAM EASTON ROWLAND) 18 September 1923 (1923-09-18)
- D5: CA-A-2 303 795 (TODORSKI Z) 27 September 2001 (2001-09-27)
- D6: LEWIS D W: 'APPLICATIONS OF POLYMER EXTRUSION TECHNOLOGY TO COAL PROCESSING' SAMPE QUARTERLY, vol. 12, no. 4, July 1981 (1981-07), pages 4-7, XP008013154
- D7: US-A-4 584 060 (WENNING PETER ET AL) 22 April 1986 (1986-04-22)
- D8: NL-C-1 009 664 (ROLLEPAAL B V MASCHF DE) 18 January 2000 (2000-01-18)
- D9: EP-A-0 025 319 (PYRO CONVERSION INC) 18 March 1981 (1981-03-18)
- D10: DE-A-198 37 277 (ECO IMPACT UNTERNEHMENSBERATUN) 24 February 2000 (2000-02-24)
- D11: Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 5th edition, volume A20, pages 677-683 (1992)

The documents D10 and D11 were not cited in the international search report.

- 2. For the establishment of this report, independent claim 1 is interpreted as including "and of which the distance between the shafts of the screws is at most the addition of the height of the blades on both screws", which is part of the definition mentioned on page 2, lines 15-19 of the current specification and which has been partly introduced in claim 1 (Article 34(2)(b) PCT).
- 3. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT,

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

---

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11337

because the subject-matter of claims 1-15 and 18-26 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT in view of at least one of the documents D1 to D11.

- 3.1 The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is novel in view of the available prior art, since none of the cited documents disclose the use of the apparatus as defined in claim 1 for pyrolytical treatment of material.

Thus, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2-26 fulfills the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 3.2 The invention of independent claim 1 consists merely in a new use of the apparatus known from document D11. The current application discloses only advantages in relation to the processing of coal, which becomes **plastic and sticky** during treatment. No unexpected effects have been shown in relation to other materials to be subjected to pyrolytical treatment.

Hence, no inventive step is present in the subject-matter of independent claim 1 over the whole scope claimed. Claim 1 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3.3 Dependent claims 2-15 and 18-26 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve an inventive step with respect to the prior art named in the present proceedings.

The reasons therefor are that the additional features of the said claims either are directly known from documents D1-D11, or is a combination of features obvious to the man skilled in the art in consideration of the disclosure of the prior art named in the present proceedings, or they concern only minor modifications which lie within the normal practice of the man skilled in the art.

- 3.4 The document D1 is regarded as the closest prior art for the subject-matter of claims 16 and 17.

Document D1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document) discloses a

coal pyrolyser unit (31) consisting of an externally heated retort chamber (32), wherein a **pair of interfolded screw conveyors**, of which the screws rotate in **opposite directions (i.e. counter rotate)**, are mounted. The retort chamber is provided with vents. The residence time of the coal in the pyrolyser is approximately 20 minutes; the retort chamber is heated to 1400°F (760 °C) (see column 3, lines 2 to 25; figure 1). The screws can be seen as self-cleaning (see column 4, lines 60-63).

It is contemplated that the feature "interfolded" is the same as the feature "intermeshing"; the same goes for "screw conveyor" and "extrusion screw".

D1 does ambiguously disclose that the screws fit closely in the housing such that the material undergoing the treatment has to stay between the blades of the screws, nor does it mention the thickness of the blades.

According to the applicant, who has participated in the project that used the apparatus of D1, in D1 thin blades have been used, with which no efficient mixing could be realised.

The problem underlying the invention is to provide both an efficient transport of the coal in all three phases -heating phase, reaction phase and product forming phase- and an efficient mixing of the coal, especially in phase 2 of the process.

It has been found that the thickness of the blades of the intermeshing double extrusion screw is very important to solve the above problem. The solution is not obvious in light of D1 nor does D1 contain a hint to solve the problem as defined in the claims.

The applicant has only filed arguments with respect to coal as the material to be treated under pyrolytical conditions.

Therefore, only the subject-matter of claims 16 and 17 involves an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

#### 4. Certain defects in the international application

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

---

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11337

- 4.1 The description should be brought into conformity with the new claims to be filed (Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT); the definition of the problem underlying the invention should be presented in the description in such terms that its solution can be better understood in view of the available prior art (Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT).
- 4.2 To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, documents D6, D10 and D11 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.